

SB 174 Teacher Exit Pilot Survey Data

Educator Preparation Programs

1. The name of my educator preparation program is (choose one from drop-down list). If you were trained out of state, please type the program name in the text box below.		
Answer Options	Response Percent	Response Count
21st Century Leadership at Dallas	0.0%	1
A Career in Education at San Antonio	0.0%	2
A Career in Teaching at Corpus Christi	0.2%	11
A Career in Teaching at Humble	0.1%	5
A Career in Teaching at McAllen	0.0%	1
A+ Texas Teachers at Houston	5.4%	248
A+ Texas Teachers at Austin	1.6%	74
A+ Texas Teachers at Bedford	0.5%	23
A+ Texas Teachers at Dallas/Fort Worth	4.7%	215
A+ Texas Teachers at San Antonio	1.9%	86
Abilene Christian University at Abilene	0.7%	32
ACT-Houston at Houston	2.2%	99
ACT-Houston at Dallas	1.2%	53
ACT-San Antonio at San Antonio	1.3%	58
ACT-San Antonio at Central Texas/Austin	0.2%	10
Alamo Community College District at San Antonio	0.1%	3
Alamo Community College District at St Philips College/San Antonio	0.0%	1
Alamo Community College District at Northwest Vista/San Antonio	0.0%	1
Alamo Community College District at Palo Alto College/San Antonio	0.0%	1
Alief ISD at Houston	0.0%	2
Alternative Cert for Teachers NOW! at El Paso	0.1%	4
Alternative Cert for Teachers NOW! at Houston	0.1%	6
ACT - Rio Grande Valley at McAllen	0.8%	35
Alternative-South Texas Educator Program at Brownsville	0.4%	17
Alternative-South Texas Educator Program at Laredo	0.2%	7
Amberton University at Garland	0.0%	0
American College of Education at Chicago	0.0%	0
Angelo State University at San Angelo	0.6%	29
Argosy University at Dallas	0.0%	1
Arlington Baptist College at Arlington	0.1%	3
ATC-East Houston at Houston	0.0%	1
Austin College at Sherman	0.2%	10
Austin Community College at Austin	0.2%	10
Baylor University at Waco	0.7%	31
Blinn College at Brenham	0.1%	6
Brookhaven College at Farmers Branch	0.0%	2
Collin County Community College at Allen	0.5%	22
Concordia University at Austin	0.2%	7
Dallas Baptist University at Dallas	0.3%	15

Dallas Christian College at Dallas	0.1%	4
Dallas ISD at Dallas	0.4%	17
Del Mar College at Corpus Christi	0.0%	0
East Texas Baptist University at Marshall	0.1%	5
Education Career Alternatives Program at North Richland Hills	1.3%	59
Educators of Excellence at San Antonio	0.0%	0
Educators of Excellence at Austin	0.2%	7
EIT: Excellence in Teaching at Weslaco	0.0%	0
Frenship ISD at Wolfforth	0.0%	0
Galveston County Alternative Teacher Certification Program at Galveston	0.2%	10
Hardin-Simmons University at Abilene	0.2%	11
Harris County Department of Education at Houston	0.0%	2
Houston Baptist University at Houston	0.2%	8
Houston Community College at Houston	0.1%	3
Houston ISD at Houston	0.5%	23
Howard Payne University at Brownwood	0.1%	5
Huston-Tillotson University at Austin	0.1%	3
Intern Teacher at Houston	0.0%	2
iteachTEXAS at Denton	7.1%	326
Jarvis Christian College at Hawkins	0.0%	1
Lamar State College - Orange at Orange	0.4%	19
Lamar State College - Port Arthur at Port Arthur	0.0%	0
Lamar University at Beaumont	0.6%	28
Laredo Community College at Laredo	0.1%	4
LeTourneau University at Longview	1.2%	55
Lone Star College - Cy-Fair at Cypress	0.3%	14
Lone Star College - Kingwood at Kingwood	0.5%	24
Lone Star College - Montgomery at Montgomery	0.3%	14
Lone Star College - North Harris at Houston	0.3%	12
Lone Star College - Tomball at Tomball	0.1%	5
Lubbock Christian University at Lubbock	0.2%	7
McLennan Community College at Waco	0.3%	13
McMurry University at Abilene	0.3%	12
Midwestern State University at Wichita Falls	0.3%	16
Mountain View College at Dallas	0.1%	6
Our Lady of the Lake University at San Antonio	0.2%	8
Pasadena ISD at Pasadena	0.5%	21
Paul Quinn College at Dallas	0.0%	0
Prairie View A&M University at Prairie View	0.3%	13
Professional Teacher Certifications, LLC at Katy	0.0%	0
Quality ACT: Alternative Certified Teachers at Irving	0.3%	16
Region 01 Education Service Center at Edinburg	0.7%	33
Region 02 Education Service Center at Corpus Christi	0.1%	4
Region 03 Education Service Center at Victoria	0.7%	32
Region 04 Education Service Center at Houston	4.7%	216
Region 05 Education Service Center at Beaumont	0.3%	14
Region 06 Education Service Center at Huntsville	0.1%	4
Region 07 Education Service Center at Kilgore	0.4%	19
Region 08 Education Service Center at Mount Pleasant	0.0%	0
Region 10 Education Service Center at Richardson	2.2%	100

Region 11 Education Service Center at Fort Worth	1.1%	51
Region 12 Education Service Center at Waco	0.4%	17
Region 13 Education Service Center at Austin	1.5%	67
Region 14 Education Service Center at Abilene	0.2%	7
Region 17 Education Service Center at Lubbock	0.0%	2
Region 18 Education Service Center at Midland	0.3%	14
Region 19 Education Service Center at El Paso	0.3%	16
Region 20 Education Service Center at San Antonio	1.2%	55
Rice Education Entrepreneurship Program at Houston	0.0%	0
Rice University at Houston	0.1%	3
Richland College at Dallas	0.0%	2
Sam Houston State University at Huntsville	2.0%	90
San Antonio College Center for Educator Preparation at San Antonio	0.1%	4
San Jacinto College North at Houston	0.0%	0
Schreiner University at Kerrville	0.1%	3
South Texas College at McAllen	0.0%	1
South Texas Transition to Teaching at Edinburg	0.3%	13
Southern Methodist University at Dallas	0.4%	20
Southwestern Adventist University at Keene	0.0%	0
Southwestern Assemblies of God Univ at Waxahachie	0.2%	10
Southwestern University at Georgetown	0.1%	3
St Edward's University at Austin	0.2%	9
St Mary's University at San Antonio	0.1%	5
Stephen F Austin State University at Nacogdoches	1.6%	75
Steps to Teaching at Pharr	0.1%	5
Sul Ross State University- Alpine at Alpine	0.0%	2
Sul Ross State University- Uvalde/Rio Grande at Rio Grande	0.1%	6
Tarleton State University at Stephenville	1.2%	55
Tarleton State University at Killeen	0.2%	8
TeacherBuilder.com at Edinburg	0.4%	17
Teachers for the 21st Century at Austin	0.1%	3
Texas A&M International University at Laredo	0.5%	22
Texas A&M University at College Station	2.4%	110
Texas A&M University- Commerce at Commerce	2.5%	115
Texas A&M University - Corpus Christi at Corpus Christi	0.7%	33
Texas A&M University - Kingsville at Kingsville	0.3%	14
Texas A&M University - Kingsville at San Antonio	0.5%	24
Texas A&M University - Texarkana at Texarkana	0.4%	17
Texas Alternative Center for Teachers at Mission	0.1%	5
Texas Alternative Certification Program at El Paso	0.5%	24
Texas Alternative Certification Program at Austin	0.1%	5
Texas Alternative Certification Program at Brownsville	0.1%	3
Texas Alternative Certification Program at Houston	0.1%	5
Texas Alternative Certification Program at San Antonio	0.0%	1
Texas Christian University at Fort Worth	0.5%	22
Texas College at Tyler	0.0%	1
Texas Gulf Foundation at Houston	0.1%	3
Texas Lutheran University at Seguin	0.1%	4
Texas Southern University- at Houston	0.1%	6
Texas State University - San Marcos at San Marcos	2.4%	111

Texas Teaching Fellows at Dallas	0.6%	29
Texas Teaching Fellows at Austin	0.5%	23
Texas Teaching Fellows at El Paso	0.1%	6
Texas Teaching Fellows at San Antonio	0.2%	7
Texas Tech University at Lubbock	1.2%	53
Texas Wesleyan University at Fort Worth	0.3%	14
Texas Woman's University at Denton	1.3%	59
The Texas Institute for Teacher Education at The Colony	0.0%	0
Training via E-Learning: An Alternative Certification Hybrid (T.E.A.C.H.) at Austin	0.3%	13
Trinity University at San Antonio	0.2%	7
Tyler Junior College at Tyler	0.1%	3
University of Dallas at Irving	0.0%	1
University of Houston at Houston	1.4%	64
University of Houston-Clear Lake at Clearlake	0.6%	29
University of Houston-Downtown at Houston	0.5%	24
University of Houston-Victoria at Victoria	0.5%	23
University of Mary Hardin-Baylor at Waco	0.5%	23
University of North Texas at Denton	3.2%	145
University of Phoenix (@ San Antonio) at San Antonio	0.0%	0
University of St Thomas at Houston	0.0%	2
University of Texas - Arlington at Arlington	1.2%	56
University of Texas - Austin at Austin	1.5%	68
University of Texas - Brownsville at Brownsville	0.6%	26
University of Texas - Dallas at Dallas	0.5%	21
University of Texas - El Paso at El Paso	2.1%	94
University of Texas - Pan American at Edinburg	1.1%	52
University of Texas - Permian Basin at Midland/Odessa	0.4%	17
University of Texas - San Antonio at San Antonio	1.3%	58
University of Texas - Tyler at Tyler	0.7%	32
University of the Incarnate Word at San Antonio	0.2%	9
Wayland Baptist University at Plainview	0.6%	26
Weatherford College at Weatherford	0.2%	8
Web-Centric Alternative Certification Program at Cypress	0.7%	32
West Texas A&M University at Canyon	1.7%	76
Western Governors University at Salt Lake City, Utah	0.1%	4
Wiley College at Marshall	0.1%	5
Yes Preparatory Public Schools at Houston	0.3%	16
Trained out of state (please type program name in box below)	1.0%	44
	Answered question	4584
	Skipped question	6

Demographics and Educational Background		
2. I am <u>trained</u> as a (choose all that apply)		
Answer Options	Response Percent	Response Count
General education teacher, elementary (EC-6)	54.5%	2498
General education teacher, secondary (7-12)	47.5%	2176
Special education teacher, elementary (EC-6)	12.2%	560
Special education teacher, secondary (7-12)	12.1%	556
Bilingual or English as a second language teacher, elementary (EC-6)	13.5%	620
Bilingual or English as a second language teacher, secondary (7-12)	2.7%	125
<i>Answered question</i>		4584
<i>Skipped question</i>		6
3. I am <u>employed</u> as a (choose all that apply)		
Answer Options	Response Percent	Response Count
General education teacher	56.9%	2610
Special education teacher	9.3%	428
Bilingual or English as a second language teacher	9.3%	428
Other (please specify)	30.0%	1374
<i>Answered question</i>		4584
<i>Skipped question</i>		6
4. The area in which this campus is located is best described as (choose one)		
Answer Options	Response Percent	Response Count
Rural	21.6%	989
Suburban/urban fringe	40.3%	1849
Urban	28.6%	1312
Other (please specify)	9.5%	434
<i>Answered question</i>		4584
<i>Skipped question</i>		6

* Question #4 of the Principal's Survey (This teacher taught at this campus for four months of the academic year or less) does not appear on the Teacher's Survey.

Classroom Environment		
5. To what extent were you prepared to effectively implement the discipline-management procedures approved by the campus?		
Answer Options	Response Percent	Response Count
Well prepared	45.1%	2022
Sufficiently prepared	44.9%	2014
Not sufficiently prepared	6.6%	296
Not at all prepared	1.0%	45
n.a.	2.4%	107
<i>Answered question</i>		4484
<i>Skipped question</i>		106
6. To what extent were you prepared to communicate clear expectations for achievement and behavior that promote and encourage self-discipline and self-directed learning?		
Answer Options	Response Percent	Response Count
Well prepared	50.0%	2244
Sufficiently prepared	42.0%	1882
Not sufficiently prepared	5.7%	254
Not at all prepared	0.7%	31
n.a.	1.6%	73
<i>Answered question</i>		4484
<i>Skipped question</i>		106
7. To what extent were you prepared to apply strategies that promote self-advocacy for all students?		
Answer Options	Response Percent	Response Count
Well prepared	41.7%	1872
Sufficiently prepared	46.6%	2091
Not sufficiently prepared	8.2%	369
Not at all prepared	1.1%	50
n.a.	2.3%	102
<i>Answered question</i>		4484
<i>Skipped question</i>		106
8. To what extent were you prepared to provide support to achieve a positive, equitable, and engaging learning environment?		
Answer Options	Response Percent	Response Count
Well prepared	59.2%	2654
Sufficiently prepared	35.6%	1597
Not sufficiently prepared	3.2%	142
Not at all prepared	0.4%	20
n.a.	1.6%	71
<i>Answered question</i>		4484
<i>Skipped question</i>		106

Classroom Environment, Continued		
9. To what extent were you prepared to build and maintain positive rapport with students?		
Answer Options	Response Percent	Response Count
Well prepared	63.4%	2842
Sufficiently prepared	31.1%	1396
Not sufficiently prepared	3.2%	145
Not at all prepared	0.6%	29
n.a.	1.6%	72
<i>Answered question</i>		4484
<i>Skipped question</i>		106
10. To what extent were you prepared to build and maintain positive rapport and two-way communication with students' families?		
Answer Options	Response Percent	Response Count
Well prepared	45.3%	2030
Sufficiently prepared	43.0%	1928
Not sufficiently prepared	8.7%	388
Not at all prepared	1.1%	48
n.a.	2.0%	90
<i>Answered question</i>		4484
<i>Skipped question</i>		106
11. If you would like to add anything else about the teacher's preparation to address the <u>classroom environment</u>, please do so in the text box below. (Optional)		
Answer Options	Response Count	
	389	
<i>Answered question</i>		389
<i>Skipped question</i>		4201

Students with Disabilities		
12. To what extent were you prepared to use appropriate research-based instructional strategies and methods to meet the needs of students with disabilities?		
Answer Options	Response Percent	Response Count
Well prepared	32.7%	1444
Sufficiently prepared	46.9%	2075
Not sufficiently prepared	14.0%	620
Not at all prepared	1.8%	78
n.a.	4.6%	203
<i>Answered question</i>		4420
<i>Skipped question</i>		170
13. To what extent were you prepared to provide appropriate ways for students with disabilities to demonstrate their learning?		
Answer Options	Response Percent	Response Count
Well prepared	28.9%	1276
Sufficiently prepared	47.8%	2114
Not sufficiently prepared	16.3%	722
Not at all prepared	2.1%	91
n.a.	4.9%	217
<i>Answered question</i>		4420
<i>Skipped question</i>		170
14. To what extent were you prepared to differentiate instruction to meet the academic and behavioral needs of students with disabilities?		
Answer Options	Response Percent	Response Count
Well prepared	33.9%	1498
Sufficiently prepared	45.5%	2009
Not sufficiently prepared	14.4%	637
Not at all prepared	1.7%	75
n.a.	4.5%	201
<i>Answered question</i>		4420
<i>Skipped question</i>		170
15. To what extent were you prepared to understand and adhere to the federal and state laws that govern special education services?		
Answer Options	Response Percent	Response Count
Well prepared	37.6%	1662
Sufficiently prepared	44.2%	1955
Not sufficiently prepared	12.1%	533
Not at all prepared	1.9%	82
n.a.	4.3%	188
<i>Answered question</i>		4420
<i>Skipped question</i>		170

Students with Disabilities, Continued		
16. To what extent were you prepared to make appropriate decisions (e.g., when and how to make accommodations and/or modifications to instruction, assessment, materials, delivery, and classroom procedures) to meet the learning needs of students who have an Individualized Education Program (IEP)?		
Answer Options	Response Percent	Response Count
Well prepared	32.2%	1423
Sufficiently prepared	44.6%	1972
Not sufficiently prepared	16.6%	735
Not at all prepared	2.1%	95
n.a.	4.4%	195
<i>Answered question</i>		4420
<i>Skipped question</i>		170
17. To what extent were you prepared to develop and/or implement formal and informal assessments that track students' progress toward IEP goals and objectives?		
Answer Options	Response Percent	Response Count
Well prepared	29.0%	1282
Sufficiently prepared	45.7%	2020
Not sufficiently prepared	17.8%	788
Not at all prepared	2.8%	125
n.a.	4.6%	205
<i>Answered question</i>		4420
<i>Skipped question</i>		170
18. To what extent were you prepared to collaborate with others, such as para-educators and other teachers, in meeting the academic, developmental, and behavioral needs of students with disabilities?		
Answer Options	Response Percent	Response Count
Well prepared	40.5%	1791
Sufficiently prepared	43.2%	1910
Not sufficiently prepared	10.3%	454
Not at all prepared	1.7%	76
n.a.	4.3%	189
<i>Answered question</i>		4420
<i>Skipped question</i>		170
19. If you would like to add anything else about the teacher's preparation to address the needs of students with disabilities, please do so in the text box below. (Optional)		
Answer Options	Response Count	
	296	
<i>Answered question</i>		296
<i>Skipped question</i>		4294

Limited English Proficiency Students		
20. To what extent were you prepared to use appropriate research-based instructional strategies and methods to meet the specific English proficiency level and language domain needs of limited English proficient (LEP-ELL) students?		
Answer Options	Response Percent	Response Count
Well prepared	28.1%	1231
Sufficiently prepared	45.5%	1993
Not sufficiently prepared	14.9%	652
Not at all prepared	2.8%	123
n.a.	8.8%	386
Answered question		4385
Skipped question		205
21. To what extent were you prepared to provide appropriate ways for limited English proficient (LEP-ELL) students to demonstrate their learning?		
Answer Options	Response Percent	Response Count
Well prepared	27.9%	1222
Sufficiently prepared	45.4%	1992
Not sufficiently prepared	14.9%	655
Not at all prepared	2.9%	126
n.a.	8.9%	390
Answered question		4385
Skipped question		205
22. To what extent were you prepared to understand and adhere to federal and state laws that govern education services for limited English proficient (LEP-ELL) students?		
Answer Options	Response Percent	Response Count
Well prepared	29.3%	1284
Sufficiently prepared	44.6%	1954
Not sufficiently prepared	14.4%	631
Not at all prepared	3.3%	143
n.a.	8.5%	373
Answered question		4385
Skipped question		205
23. To what extent were you prepared to comply with district and campus policies and procedures regarding limited English proficient (LEP-ELL) students?		
Answer Options	Response Percent	Response Count
Well prepared	31.3%	1374
Sufficiently prepared	45.0%	1972
Not sufficiently prepared	11.7%	514
Not at all prepared	3.1%	135
n.a.	8.9%	390
Answered question		4385
Skipped question		205

Limited English Proficiency Students, Continued		
24. To what extent were you prepared to support limited English proficient (LEP-ELL) students in mastering the Texas Essential Knowledge and Skills (TEKS), including the English Language Proficiency Standards (ELPS)?		
Answer Options	Response Percent	Response Count
Well prepared	26.0%	1139
Sufficiently prepared	44.2%	1937
Not sufficiently prepared	16.4%	721
Not at all prepared	3.5%	153
n.a.	9.9%	435
<i>Answered question</i>		4385
<i>Skipped question</i>		205
25. To what extent were you prepared to model and teach the forms and functions of academic English in content areas?		
Answer Options	Response Percent	Response Count
Well prepared	33.6%	1475
Sufficiently prepared	43.8%	1920
Not sufficiently prepared	10.8%	473
Not at all prepared	2.7%	117
n.a.	9.1%	400
<i>Answered question</i>		4385
<i>Skipped question</i>		205
26. If you would like to add anything else about the teacher's preparation to address the needs of limited English proficient (LEP-ELL) students, please do so in the text box below. (Optional)		
Answer Options	Response Count	
	198	
<i>Answered question</i>		198
<i>Skipped question</i>		4392

Technology Integration		
27. To what extent were you prepared to use technology to integrate curriculum TEKS and Technology Applications (TA) TEKS consistent with effective teaching practices?		
Answer Options	Response Percent	Response Count
Well prepared	50.1%	2188
Sufficiently prepared	38.4%	1680
Not sufficiently prepared	8.0%	348
Not at all prepared	1.7%	74
n.a.	1.9%	81
<i>Answered question</i>		4371
<i>Skipped question</i>		219
28. To what extent were you prepared to use appropriate multimedia and other technology to support and extend student learning?		
Answer Options	Response Percent	Response Count
Well prepared	50.4%	2201
Sufficiently prepared	37.8%	1653
Not sufficiently prepared	8.6%	377
Not at all prepared	1.6%	68
n.a.	1.6%	72
<i>Answered question</i>		4371
<i>Skipped question</i>		219
29. To what extent were you prepared to provide technology-based classroom learning opportunities that allow students to interact with real-time and/or online content?		
Answer Options	Response Percent	Response Count
Well prepared	44.8%	1960
Sufficiently prepared	39.7%	1735
Not sufficiently prepared	10.9%	478
Not at all prepared	2.4%	105
n.a.	2.1%	93
<i>Answered question</i>		4371
<i>Skipped question</i>		219
30. To what extent were you prepared to teach students developmentally appropriate technology skills?		
Answer Options	Response Percent	Response Count
Well prepared	42.5%	1858
Sufficiently prepared	41.7%	1823
Not sufficiently prepared	11.1%	483
Not at all prepared	2.5%	108
n.a.	2.3%	99
<i>Answered question</i>		4371
<i>Skipped question</i>		219

Technology Integration, Continued		
31. To what extent were you prepared to use technology to make learning more active and engaging for students?		
Answer Options	Response Percent	Response Count
Well prepared	50.7%	2217
Sufficiently prepared	38.0%	1660
Not sufficiently prepared	8.2%	359
Not at all prepared	1.5%	64
n.a.	1.6%	71
<i>Answered question</i>		4371
<i>Skipped question</i>		219
32. If you would like to add anything else about the teacher's preparation to integrate technology effectively into curricula and instruction, please do so in the text box below. (Optional)		
Answer Options	Response Count	
	231	
<i>Answered question</i>		231
<i>Skipped question</i>		4359

Using Technology with Data		
33. To what extent were you prepared to use technology to collect, manage, and analyze student data using software programs (such as Excel or an electronic grade book)?		
Answer Options	Response Percent	Response Count
Well prepared	37.0%	1614
Sufficiently prepared	37.8%	1649
Not sufficiently prepared	15.6%	683
Not at all prepared	6.7%	291
n.a.	2.9%	128
<i>Answered question</i>		4365
<i>Skipped question</i>		225
34. To what extent were you prepared to use technology to collect, manage, and analyze multiple data sources in order to interpret learning results for <u>individual</u> students?		
Answer Options	Response Percent	Response Count
Well prepared	32.5%	1417
Sufficiently prepared	40.2%	1755
Not sufficiently prepared	17.9%	783
Not at all prepared	6.3%	277
n.a.	3.0%	133
<i>Answered question</i>		4365
<i>Skipped question</i>		225
35. To what extent were you prepared to use technology to collect, manage, and analyze multiple data sources in order to interpret learning results for <u>groups</u> of students?		
Answer Options	Response Percent	Response Count
Well prepared	31.2%	1360
Sufficiently prepared	40.8%	1781
Not sufficiently prepared	18.3%	799
Not at all prepared	6.7%	292
n.a.	3.0%	133
<i>Answered question</i>		4365
<i>Skipped question</i>		225
36. To what extent were you prepared to use technology to document student learning to determine when an intervention is necessary and appropriate?		
Answer Options	Response Percent	Response Count
Well prepared	30.7%	1342
Sufficiently prepared	41.1%	1795
Not sufficiently prepared	18.9%	826
Not at all prepared	6.2%	272
n.a.	3.0%	130
<i>Answered question</i>		4365
<i>Skipped question</i>		225

Using Technology with Data Continued		
37. To what extent were you prepared to use technology to collect and manage formative assessment data to guide instruction?		
Answer Options	Response Percent	Response Count
Well prepared	32.3%	1412
Sufficiently prepared	42.6%	1861
Not sufficiently prepared	16.7%	730
Not at all prepared	5.4%	236
n.a.	2.9%	126
<i>Answered question</i>		4365
<i>Skipped question</i>		225
38. If you would like to add anything else about the teacher's preparation to use technology with data, please do so in the text box below. (Optional)		
Answer Options	Response Count	
	180	
<i>Answered question</i>		180
<i>Skipped question</i>		4410

Overall Evaluation		
39. What is your overall evaluation of how well the educator preparation program prepared you? Select the one statement that most closely matches your current overall perspective on the program.		
Answer Options	Response Percent	Response Count
I was well prepared by the program for the first year of teaching.	43.2%	1881
I was sufficiently prepared by the program for the first year of teaching.	32.3%	1406
I was prepared in most areas for the first year of teaching; however, there were a few areas in which the program did not sufficiently prepare the teacher.	18.6%	812
I was prepared in a few areas for the first year of teaching; however, in most areas the program did not sufficiently prepare the teacher.	4.5%	197
I was not prepared by the program for the first year of teaching.	1.4%	59
<i>Answered question</i>		4355
<i>Skipped question</i>		235
40. If you would like to add anything else about the teacher's preparation for the first year of teaching, please do so in the text box below. (Optional)		
Answer Options	Response Count	
	641	
<i>Answered question</i>		641
<i>Skipped question</i>		3949