Testimony for Committee on Education of the Texas Senate Improving Teacher Quality

Eric A. Hanushek

Stanford University University of Texas at Dallas

July 20, 2010

Backdrop of Teacher Quality Discussions

- Teachers most important input
- No identifiable characteristics
 - Master's degrees
 - Experience*
 - Certification
 - Preparation
 - Professional development
- Cannot regulate and pay on characteristics

Demand for Quality: Teacher Impact through Individual Earnings

Impact on Student Lifetime Incomes by Class Size and Teacher Effectiveness (compared to average teacher)



Impact on Student Lifetime Incomes by Class Size and Teacher Effectiveness (compared to average teacher)



Impact on Student Lifetime Incomes by Class Size and Teacher Effectiveness (compared to average teacher)



Impact on Student Lifetime Incomes by Class Size and Teacher Effectiveness (compared to average teacher)



Demand for Quality: Teacher Impact through Aggregate Improvement



Annual Gains from 25 PISA-Points Improvement (1/4 std. dev.)



Annual Gains from 25 PISA-Points Improvement (1/4 std. dev.)



Present Value of Achievement Gains

Achievement change	Present value (\$billion)	% GDP
Plus ¼ standard deviation (to UK, Germany; ½ way to Canada)	\$40,647	268%
Achievement = Finland	\$103,073	678%
Eliminate "below level 1" (< 400 PISA)	\$72,101	475%

Inefficiencies in Current Salaries



Average Teacher Salary by Degree and Experience, 2007

Experience and Advanced Degrees

	% of Teachers	% of Salaries
MA or more	53	9.5
Experience > 2 years	85	27

Conclusions

- Gains very large from better teachers
- Difference between effective and ineffective enormous
- Gains justify substantial structural change
 Cautions
- Gains only with achievement
- Gains take long time

Benchmark Economic Data (2008)

- GDP = \$14.5 trillion
- K-12 = 4.6% GDP
- Aggregate K-12 spending = \$661 billion
- Average teacher salary = \$53,230